UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,118	01/29/2004	Shu-Wen Fu	14034-002001	7181
26161 7590 07/18/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1614	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/769,118	FU ET AL.				
omoo nodon ouninary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin E. Weddington	1614				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
. —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		- 00				
 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-56 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-29-04.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-56 are presented for examination.

Applicants' information disclosure statement filed January 29, 2004 has been received and entered.

Applicants' election filed July 5, 2007 in response to the restriction requirement of June 6, 2007 has been received and entered. The applicants elected the invention described in claims 1-31 (Group I) without traverse.

Claims 32-56 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to the non-elected invention (37 CFR 1.142(b)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14, 15, 18, 23-25, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Janoff et al. (5,616,344) of PTO-1449.

Janoff et al. teach a drug-lipid complexes association with hydrophobic drugs such as amphothericin B and with phospholipids such as dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and dimyristoylphosphatidylglycerol (DMPG) (see the abstract). Note particular to column 6, lines 44-45 states the drug molar ratio amount is greater than 5 mol %, this range anticipates applicants' molar ratio of the drug-lipid of 1:9 to 9:1. Note column 9, lines 1-6 teaches the phospholipids molar ratio between dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and

Application/Control Number: 10/769,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

dimyristoylphosphatidylglycerol (DMPG) is from about 99:1 to about 1:99, and applicants' molar ratio of 4:1 to 2:1 falls within the range. Note column 9, lines 33-65 show other hydrophobic drugs are also used in this drug-lipid complex. Note column 14, lines 62-63 teaches grinding the mixture with "a mechanic means" such as a colloid mill. Also note Example 11 teaches the water solubility of amphotericin B is 0.1 mg/ml, which is less than 10 mg/ml, set forth in applicants' invention.

Clearly, the cited reference teaches every limitation of the instant invention; therefore, the instant invention is unpatentable.

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14, 15, 18, 23-25, 30 and 31 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1614

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janoff et al. (5,616,3334) of PTO-1449 in view of Verhoff et al. (6,604,698 B2).

Janoff et al. were discussed <u>supra</u> for a drug-lipid complex comprising a drug and one or more phospholipids and grinding the mixture with a mechanic means.

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the drug-lipid complex has a particle size of 60-6,000 nm. However, to determine various particle sizes of the drug-lipid complex having optimum effectiveness is well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art, and the skilled artisan would have been motivated to determine any particle size would give the same maximum effectiveness in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the applicants' preferred mechanic means is a dispersion

Art Unit: 1614

mill such as a ball mill. However, the secondary reference, Verhoff et al., teaches media mills such as ball mill are well-known in the art for drug-lipid complexes.

Clearly, to substituted colloid mill as a mechanic means to grinding the druglipid complexes into particles with a ball mill would have been obvious those skilled in the art since the outcome will achieve the same end product in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 1-31 are not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614 Page 6

K. Weddington July 12, 2007